



661-724-MINE (6463) \* P. O. Box 2411 California City, CA 93504 \* [trilogyjoe@yahoo.com](mailto:trilogyjoe@yahoo.com) Contact: Joe M.

---

---

Saturday, February 14, 2015

**TO:**

California Energy Commission  
Dockets Office, MS-4  
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512  
[docket@energy.ca.gov](mailto:docket@energy.ca.gov)

Bureau of Land Management  
Vicki Campbell, DRECP Program Manager  
2800 Cottage Way, Ste. W-1623 Sacramento, CA 95825  
Email: [vlcampbell@blm.gov](mailto:vlcampbell@blm.gov)  
BLM Field Office and Manager Carl Symons  
300 S. Richmond Road Ridgecrest, CA 93555

**Subject: "DRECP NEPA/CEQA"**

*This is for all Appendices L1 through L5 even with no recommendations, Draft Implementation, Implementation MOU's, Final Draft, Drafts, Executive Summary, volumes I-VI, the Development of Alternatives, Environmental Setting/Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences/Effects and Analysis, Consultation, Coordination and Public Participation and Mitigation Monitoring and Report Plan.*

**Revised language For:**

Locatable Minerals  
Mineral Materials  
Non-energy Leaseables

**OBJECTIVE'S**

**Allowable Uses:** Strategic mineral, metal and rare earth development. Support the national and socio-economic need for reliable and sustainable domestic minerals while reclaiming the land, protecting the native flora and fauna as best as, and protecting the original intent of the mining environment according to 30 USC 21-54.

**Non-Allowable (Uses) or Designations:**

Renewable Energy, Mineral Withdrawals, Land Designations, Land change designations road designation projects, and ACEC's, NLCS's, DWMA's expansion areas, But not limited to, in any and all historic and current minerals and mining areas and Mining Districts of California without the consent of Minerals and Mining.

**Reasoning Behind Revised Language:**

These are not considered compatible with the Multiple Surface Use Act (30 USC 611 4a-b) for the Minerals and Mining Federal Stakeholders (MMFS). DRECP, ESA, EPA, CWA, NLCS, ACEC's, Monuments, Conservation areas, Wilderness areas, Mineral withdrawal areas and Scenic areas are not compatible with 30 USC 612(b). (see *Curtis-Nevada Mines case, cite: 611 F.2d 1277*) and 30 USC 21a-54 for the MMFS. Simply, it is illegal to close public lands, roads and entrance for mineral entry and mineral and mining development unless there has been a past congressional mineral withdrawal and any current decisions and approvals must include consulting the MMFS currently CDDMC after February 25<sup>th</sup>, 2015 Minerals and Mining Advisory Council (MMAC). MMAC along with BLM are the official Federal contacts.

Secondly, besides State & Federal Administrative Actions over-stepping Federal Mining Laws and are ignoring the Rule of Law, State and Federal failed to include National Security Interests (DOD) that may exist in SCMSA 50 U.S.C. 98 et seq. & 98(c),

Thirdly, BLM has failed to recognize National Mineral and Mining Policy Act 30USC21a. BLM is violating the Federal Policy by excluding and not recognizing Minerals and Mining as Federal Stakeholders and coexisting Federal Land Stakeholders and consultants.

See Congressional Letter accompanying these responses:

Signed  
The California Desert District Mining Coalition  
P.O. Box 2411 California City, CA 93504  
661-724-6463  
[trilogyjoe@yahoo.com](mailto:trilogyjoe@yahoo.com)  
<http://www.cddmc.com>  
<http://www.mineralsandminingadvisorycouncil.org>